



Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

[peuradeun] Submission Acknowledgement

1 pesan

Editorial JIP <info.jip@scadindependent.org>

Kepada: Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

29 Juni 2025 pukul 20.37

Dear Saude Saude:

Thank you for submitting the manuscript, " **LIVING SUFISM**" to *Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun*. With the online journal management system that we are using, you will be able to track its progress through the editorial process by logging in to the journal web site:

Submission URL: <https://journal.scadindependent.org/index.php/jipeuradeun/authorDashboard/submit/2425>

Username: 25saude_2025

All the manuscripts submitted to the JIP editorial will be pass through a double-blind peer review according to the International standards. We want to emphasize that all the manuscripts submitted are subject to review by the editors, editorial board, and double-blind reviewers. The Editorial Board may reject a manuscript without peer review if that paper is judged not to meet the journal minimum required qualifications. The final decision will be sent to the author as soon as possible. For more details please visit:

1. Workflow: <http://journal.scadindependent.org/index.php/jipeuradeun/workflow>
2. Peer Review Process: <https://journal.scadindependent.org/index.php/jipeuradeun/reviewprocess>
3. Participation Charge: <https://journal.scadindependent.org/index.php/jipeuradeun/charge>

You can monitor the progress of your paper stages directly in your account, and each stage will be notified to you about the progress of your paper. We hope you can be patient for a predetermined amount of time during the process. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Best Regards,

Editorial JIP

Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun

St. Tgk. Pulo Dibaroh, No. 26, Kp. Baru, Baiturrahman Sub-district, Banda Aceh City 23242, Aceh, Indonesia.

Official E-mail: info.jip@scadindependent.org | website: www.journal.scadindependent.org

Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun, Your Intellectual Nutrition...



Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

Your article in the Pre-Review process

1 pesan

Tabrani ZA <tabraniza@scadindependent.org>
Kepada: Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

9 Agustus 2025 pukul 16.40

Article ID: 2425

Dear Saude Saude, Kamridah Kamridah, Saad Boulahnane,

Thank you for your trust in submitting your manuscript to **Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun**. Your submission with the title "**LIVING SUFISM: THE TRANSFORMATION OF DHIKR PRACTICES IN INDONESIAN MAJELIS TA'LIM**".

Our decision is to: **Send it to Pre-Review** for an initial editor's check

You can track your manuscript's progress in your account, where you will be notified of its progress at each stage. We hope you can be patient during the predetermined duration of the process. We appreciate your patience in this regard. If you have any questions, please contact me from your [submission dashboard](#).

Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Best Regards,

Editorial JIP

Official E-mail: info.jip@scadindependent.org
Website: www.journal.scadindependent.org

"Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun, Your Intellectual Nutrition"

—
Reply to this comment at [#2425 Saude et al.](#) or [unsubscribe](#) from emails sent by [Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun](#).



Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

Editor Decision (Revisions Required)

1 pesan

Tabrani ZA <tabraniza@scad-independent.org>

Kepada: Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

27 Desember 2025 pukul 03.47

Article ID: 2425*Dear Saude Saude, Kamridah Kamridah, Saad Boulahnane:*Thank you for submitting your paper to **Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun**. We really appreciate your contribution to submitting papers to this journal.After the Editorial Board and reviewers inspected and reviewed your manuscript, we have reached a decision regarding your submission about "**LIVING SUFISM: THE TRANSFORMATION OF DHIKR PRACTICES IN INDONESIAN MAJELIS TA'LIM**".Our decision is: **Revisions Required**.

It is a very interesting topic. Although we appreciate the work you have put into it, we have determined that your paper needs several developments to be considered for publication in the Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun. Several points of view should be considered in particular, which may construct the paper.

We have received comments and decisions from reviewers for your manuscript. Your manuscript requires some minor revisions to improve its quality. Attached are the overall comments from the peer reviewer about your manuscript (please see the attached file).

Please help make revisions so that this paper can meet the standards of quality set by this journal. Make sure you revise the manuscript based on the suggestions and comments from the reviewers. In addition, the revised manuscript you resubmitted to us is final, is already in the JIP template, and has followed the **JIP author's guidelines**.And then, **please highlight the results of corrections and revisions to your paper in yellow, directly in the manuscript**. This will greatly assist us in examining and re-evaluating the paper. In addition, **please make a Table of Corrections** to make it easier for editors to assess your revised manuscript. **The Table of Corrections contains the reviewer's comments, your revision, and the page**. You can see the **Table of Correction format** on the journal's website in the author's guidelines section. Your revised manuscript must include the Table of Corrections as a separate file.**As a side note**, you must also **complete all author names, affiliations, and emails** in your revised manuscript. **Remember**: the JIP limits the number of authors to a maximum of seven in one manuscript, with different affiliations for each author (a minimum of two affiliations in one manuscript). Make sure that all authors do not have any articles published in JIP in the last 1 year.We look forward to receiving your revised manuscript that carefully addresses the feedback. **The deadline for revision and resubmission of your revised manuscript is within TWO WEEKS from the date of the notice**. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us from your **submission dashboard**.

We look forward to receiving a revised manuscript that responds carefully to the feedback. Thank you for your dedication, contribution, and cooperation.

Best Regards,**Dr. Tabrani. ZA, S.Pd.I., M.S.I., MA.***SCAD Independent Research Institute**Editor – Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun*

Reviewer General Comments

Reviewer 1:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

I appreciate the authors' serious effort to position *dhikr* in majelis taklim as a socially consequential form of living Sufism and to ground the study in rich qualitative fieldwork. However, the manuscript's core weakness lies in scientific discipline and argumentative focus: the Introduction is expansive yet not sufficiently tight in isolating a single sharp problem and an explicit, defensible research gap; the Results section repeatedly mixes empirical reporting with theoretical interpretation (and even introduces additional theories not anchored in the Methods), which undermines evidentiary clarity; and the Discussion, while broad and comparative, needs to be reorganized around the promised main framework (Qur'anic reception theory + social capital) to demonstrate a clear intellectual stance, a traceable mechanism, and a genuinely novel contribution beyond "combining theories." With stronger separation between results and discussion, a more operationalized analytical procedure, and a more explicit global contribution claim relevant to interdisciplinary social-science debates on faith-based social capital in the Global South, the manuscript would better match the rigor and scope expected by *Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun*. (All detailed comments are attached).

Reviewer 2:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

This manuscript addresses a relevant and contextual topic and demonstrates the author's effort to contribute to an evolving academic discourse. Formally, the article follows the IMRAD structure; however, the logical consistency across sections still requires strengthening. The Introduction is sufficiently informative and supported by a number of references, yet it remains largely descriptive and does not fully sharpen the research focus, research gap, or novelty in an explicit manner. As a result, the article's scholarly contribution is not immediately evident from the outset.

The Methods section is relatively clear in explaining the research approach and procedures, but the rationale for the selection of the research design, subjects, and analytical techniques needs to be further elaborated to demonstrate stronger methodological rigor and scientific credibility. The Results are presented in a fairly comprehensive manner; however, in several parts they are intermingled with interpretation, rendering the boundary between Results and Discussion insufficiently clear. The Discussion attempts to relate the findings to relevant literature, but it remains largely confirmatory and does not yet fully articulate the author's critical position or offer a theoretical or conceptual contribution that clearly distinguishes this study from previous research.

The Conclusion summarizes the main findings in general terms, but it does not yet synthesize the results into stronger academic and practical implications. In terms of language, the manuscript is generally communicative and understandable, although it still contains repetitive ideas, overly long sentences, and inconsistencies in terminology that detract from argumentative sharpness. The main strengths of the manuscript lie in the relevance of the topic and the completeness of data presentation, while its primary weaknesses concern the sharpness of argumentation, discipline in scholarly structure, and the explicit articulation of academic contribution. With more focused and substantive revisions, this manuscript has the potential to be improved in order to better align with the standards of *Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun*.

 2425-Reviewer Comment.doc
311K



Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

Your Manuscript Needs to be Revised Again

Tabrani ZA <tabraniza@scadindependent.org>
 Kepada: Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

6 Januari 2026 pukul 23.06

Article ID: 2425

Dear Saude Saude, Kamridah Kamridah, Saad Boulahnane,

Thank you for submitting your revised manuscript, entitled "**LIVING SUFISM: THE TRANSFORMATION OF DHIKR PRACTICES IN INDONESIAN MAJELIS TA'LIM**".

We appreciate the improvements you have made so far according to the previously given suggestions. Your responsiveness to our initial feedback is highly appreciated. However, after further evaluation, we have found that some issues remain unaddressed or require more precise adjustments. Please review the previously sent notes from the reviewers and editors. Therefore, we request that you revise the manuscript again according to the attached feedback. You can find some additional notes at the end of this notification email. We trust you will make the necessary improvements promptly.

The deadline for revision and resubmission of your revised work is **ONE WEEK from the date of the notice**. And then, **please highlight the results of corrections and revisions to your manuscript in yellow directly in the manuscript**; this will greatly assist us in examining and re-evaluating your manuscript. In addition, **please make a Table of Corrections**. The Table of Corrections contains the reviewer's comments, your revision, and the page. You can see the Table of Correction format on the **Journal's website**, in the author's guidelines section. The table of corrections is sent with your revised manuscript as a separate file. Please make sure the revision that you submitted has been in the JIP template and has followed the **JIP author's guidelines**.

Please look at the reviewers' notes, the editor's comments, and the sections we mentioned. It is very important to us that each part of the manuscript conveys clear and accurate information in accordance with the established scientific framework. Please revisit the details that require correction and adjustment. We believe that with these improvements, your manuscript will have greater potential for a major contribution to the field you are studying. We look forward to your response to the next revision and hope to continue the process to the next stage. We appreciate your patience in this regard. If you have any questions, please contact me from your [submission dashboard](#).

We look forward to receiving a revised manuscript that responds carefully to the feedback. Thank you for your dedication, contribution, and cooperation.

Regards,

Dr. Tabrani. ZA, S.Pd.I., M.S.I., MA.
SCAD Independent Research Institute
Editor – Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun

Our Further Comments on Your Manuscript

I would like to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to the authors for the seriousness, perseverance, and strong academic goodwill demonstrated in revising this manuscript. It is evident that the authors have made efforts to follow up on several previous directives, particularly in clarifying the focus of the study, reorganizing the main theoretical framework, and improving the methodological description to include analytical procedures and a research ethics statement. These efforts deserve recognition as a form of academic commitment. Nevertheless, after a careful and comprehensive re-examination of the revised version, it must be stated objectively that the manuscript is

not yet fully ready to proceed to the publication stage, as there remain substantial issues related to the logical structure of the writing and adherence to writing discipline, which constitute the standard requirements of *Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun*.

In the Introduction section, the flow from General Phenomenon → Specific Problem → Previous Studies → Gap → Objectives has begun to appear and is far more structured than in the previous version. The phenomenon addressed is relevant and carries social and scholarly significance, and the review of previous studies is relatively up to date. However, the main issue in the introduction lies in the novelty, which is still insufficiently sharp and argumentative. Claims of originality are still dominated by statements about the integration of two theoretical frameworks (Qur'anic reception and social capital), but they have not yet been formulated as a specific conceptual contribution that is logically tested and irreplaceable by prior studies. Although the research gap is explicitly stated, it has not yet been fully "forced" into an urgent scholarly necessity. As a result, the research objectives tend to appear as a logical continuation of the literature rather than as responses to a truly critical knowledge gap. To meet JIP standards, the introduction should conclude with a firm statement of contribution: what exactly is the new mechanism, conceptual model, or analytical perspective produced by this article, and why that contribution is significant at the international level.

In the Methods section, there has been a fairly meaningful improvement. The structure of Design → Subjects/Objects → Procedures → Analysis → Research Ethics is relatively complete and reflects an effort toward research replicability. The explanation of the field context, data collection techniques, triangulation, and ethical consent constitutes a particular strength. However, editorially, the methods section still needs to be condensed and disciplined in accordance with the JIP style. The methodological description still feels overly descriptive and at times shifts from "how the study was conducted" to conceptual justification. In addition, the use of various sampling terms and analytical techniques needs to be rationalized more economically so as not to appear as a mere technical listing. The authors also need to ensure that data analysis procedures are explained operationally (how themes were generated, how theory was applied in the analysis, and how interpretive consistency was maintained), so that the methods truly support the claims made in the results and discussion.

In the Results section, this constitutes the most serious weakness of the revised manuscript. Substantively, the separation between results and interpretation has not been maintained. Many result paragraphs already contain evaluations, normative judgments, and even theoretical concepts, thereby violating the basic principle of presenting results as empirical findings as they are. The presentation of results is still too expansive in institutional descriptions and lists of locations, while the core patterns of findings have not been presented concisely and systematically. To meet JIP standards, the results section must be purified into: a general description of findings, presentation of data by themes/categories, clear empirical evidence, and factual narration that explains patterns without theoretical analysis. Without such purification, the empirical strength of the article remains weak.

In the Discussion section, the authors actually demonstrate strong argumentative potential. The discussion has attempted to link findings with theory, touch upon conceptual contributions, and open up global relevance. However, because the results have not been disciplinarily separated, the discussion loses focus and the authors' intellectual position becomes less firm. The discussion still tends to expand with many additional theoretical references that are not all necessary, causing the main framework promised since the introduction to become blurred. The authors need to sharpen the discussion by selecting key findings, interpreting them deeply through the main theoretical framework, and asserting novelty as an argument rather than merely a claim. Theoretical and practical implications need to be formulated more substantially, and global relevance should be constructed as a contribution to international discourse, not merely as a contextual comparison. The placement of research limitations at the end of the discussion is appropriate.

In the Conclusion section, the structure of Synthesis of Findings → Contribution → Future Research → Take-home Message has generally been followed. However, the conclusion still tends to function as a descriptive summary rather than a fully analytical synthesis. The academic contribution needs to be articulated more specifically and conceptually, not merely in the form of general statements about the importance of religious practice. Directions for future research should be derived directly from the study's acknowledged limitations discussed earlier, so that the conclusion truly functions as a mature scholarly reflection and leaves a strong academic message for readers.

Overall, although this manuscript shows meaningful progress compared to the previous version and has the potential to make a contribution relevant to the focus and scope of *Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun*, it still requires further revision. The focus of revision should be directed toward enforcing IMRAD structural discipline, purifying the results section, sharpening the discussion as a space for argumentation and novelty, and formulating a more explicit and academically accountable conceptual contribution. Without fundamental improvements in these aspects, the manuscript is not yet suitable to be directly advanced to the publication stage.

As an additional note to remember:

1. Make sure you revise the **final version** of the manuscript you sent to us;
2. You need to improve the structure and grammar. Extensive editing should be undertaken since there are some errors in some parts of sentences in order to make sure there are zero errors.

3. The manuscript must be written in American English (English US), between 4,000 and 7,000 words, including text, notes, all tables, and figures, but not include references and appendices intended for publication;
4. The body of the manuscript follows IMRAD guidelines (Introduction, Method, Result, Discussion, Conclusion, and Bibliography). All citations and references must use the Mendeley app ([Follow this journal template](#));
5. Customize overall reference writing with APA Style 7th Edition. If you use APA style, in-text citations must be in APA style. **For citations and references, it is mandatory to Use the Mendeley App;**
6. For details, visit: <http://journal.scad-independent.org/index.php/jipeuradeun/authorguidelines>;
7. As a side note, **you must also complete all author names, affiliations, and emails in your revised manuscript. Remember:** the JIP limits the number of authors to a maximum of seven in one manuscript, with different affiliations for each author (a minimum of two affiliations in one manuscript). Make sure that all authors do not have any articles published in JIP in the last 1 year;
8. **The revised manuscript you submit must be final, and there are no more reviewer notes in the manuscript;**
9. After you have revised it, please **UPLOAD** your final revised manuscript in the **Revisions** section of your account.

 **Revised Version with Yellow.docx**
214K



Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

Manuscript Requires Further Revision

Tabrani ZA <tabraniza@scadindependent.org>
 Kepada: Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

11 Januari 2026 pukul 00.52

Article ID: 2425

Dear Saude Saude, Kamridah Kamridah, Saad Boulahnane,

Thank you for your continued dedication in addressing the revisions to your manuscript, entitled "**LIVING SUFISM: THE TRANSFORMATION OF DHIKR PRACTICES IN INDONESIAN MAJELIS TA'LIM**".

We appreciate the improvements you have made so far according to the previously given suggestions. Your responsiveness to our initial feedback is highly appreciated. However, after further evaluation, we have found that some issues remain unaddressed or require more precise adjustments. Please review the previously sent notes from the reviewers and editors. Therefore, we request that you revise the manuscript again according to the attached feedback. You can find some additional notes at the end of this notification email. We trust you will make the necessary improvements promptly.

The deadline for revision and resubmission of your revised work is **ONE WEEK from the date of the notice**. And then, **please highlight the results of corrections and revisions to your manuscript in yellow directly in the manuscript**; this will greatly assist us in examining and re-evaluating your manuscript. In addition, **please make a Table of Corrections**. The Table of Corrections contains the reviewer's comments, your revision, and the page. You can see the **Table of Correction format** on the **journal's website**, in the author's guidelines section. The table of corrections is sent with your revised manuscript as a separate file. Please make sure the revision that you submitted has been in the JIP template and has followed the **JIP author's guidelines**.

We look forward to your response to the next revision and hope to continue the process to the next stage. We appreciate your patience in this regard. If you have any questions, please contact me from your [submission dashboard](#).

We look forward to receiving a revised manuscript that responds carefully to the feedback. Thank you for your dedication, contribution, and cooperation.

Regards,

Dr. Tabrani. ZA, S.Pd.I., M.S.I., MA.
SCAD Independent Research Institute
Editor – Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun

Our Further Comments on Your Manuscript

Thank you and my sincere appreciation to the author for the hard work and consistency shown in responding to the previous revision comments and notes. It is clearly evident that the author has made efforts toward substantive improvement, particularly through the expansion of empirical data and the addition of field quotations. However, after examining this subsequent revised manuscript very carefully and thoroughly, I must state firmly and objectively that **the manuscript still cannot be advanced to the next stage**, as there remain fundamental structural, analytical, and epistemological issues that cannot be overlooked under the standards of *Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun*.

First, the Introduction. The Introduction in this revised version shows considerable progress compared to the previous version. The flow of *General Phenomenon* → *Specific Problem* → *Previous Studies* → *Research Gap* → *Theoretical Framework* → *Research Objectives* has been constructed in a relatively coherent and argumentative manner. The phenomenon of *dhikr* in *majelis taklim* as both a spiritual and social practice has been positioned in a relevant and contextual way within Indonesian Muslim society. The review of prior literature is also relatively up to date and diverse, covering spiritual, social, psychological, and economic dimensions. However, the main issue in the Introduction now lies in the **sharpness of the novelty**. The research novelty is still formulated declaratively as an integration of Qur'anic reception theory and social capital theory, but it has not yet been articulated as a **specific and irreplaceable conceptual contribution** vis-à-vis previous studies. The author needs to answer explicitly: *What does this study explain that prior research cannot?* In addition, the Introduction still advances too many benefit claims at once (spiritual, social, mental, economic), which risks dispersing the academic focus of the problem. To meet JIP standards, the Introduction should ideally conclude with a **clear and measurable statement of contribution**, for example in the form of a model, propositions, or a clearly defined analytical mechanism.

Second, the Methods section. The Methods section has undergone meaningful improvement and generally approaches a replicable standard. The descriptive phenomenological design is explained with sufficient clarity, the research context and participant characteristics are described in detail, data collection techniques are diverse (interviews, participant observation, documents, FGDs), and an ethical statement is included. Nevertheless, a significant weakness remains in the explanation of the data analysis procedure. The author mentions the use of thematic analysis based on the frameworks of Rafiq and Putnam, but does not operationally explain how the analysis was conducted: how coding stages were carried out, how themes were established, whether the analysis was deductive, inductive, or hybrid, and how interpretive consistency was ensured. Furthermore, the claimed interdisciplinary approach (sociological, psychological, economic, anthropological) still appears as a conceptual umbrella rather than being functionally integrated into the method. The Methods section should clearly explain *how the study was conducted*, not merely *which frameworks are mentioned*. The author is advised to condense the Methods section while simultaneously clarifying its analytical aspects so that the study can be methodologically reproduced.

Third, the Results section, which remains the most critical point requiring further revision. Although the author has separated the Results and Discussion subsections, substantively the Results have not yet been fully purified as empirical findings. Within the Results section, there are still many interpretative, evaluative, and conceptually generalized statements, such as assessments of ritualistic tendencies, the weakening of spiritual essence, social stratification, or causal explanations that are not explicitly stated by informants. Such sentences—even when written narratively and smoothly—remain the author's interpretations and therefore, in terms of scholarly discipline, must be relocated to the Discussion section. Under JIP standards, the Results section may only contain data, facts, and factual narratives derived directly from interviews, observations, or documents. In addition, the Results presentation remains overly lengthy in mapping institutions and listing *majelis taklim*, causing the core findings to become less salient. The author should summarize this mapping and focus the Results on the main patterns of findings, supported by clear quotations and observational descriptions, without evaluative or conceptual interpretation. Tables may be added if necessary. As long as the Results section is not rigorously purified, the empirical strength of the manuscript remains methodologically problematic.

To facilitate revision of the Results section, the author must ensure that **all descriptions in this section are presented strictly as empirical findings**, without evaluative judgments, inferences, or conceptual interpretations. Under *Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun* standards, the Results section functions solely to answer *what was found in the field*, not *what those findings mean*. Therefore, every sentence in the Results must be directly grounded in interview, observation, or documentary data and presented descriptively and factually. Sentences containing evaluation, generalization, or causal explanation—even if written narratively and without explicit theoretical references—should be moved to the Discussion section. For example, a sentence such as *"This phenomenon reflects a ritualistic tendency in which dhikr is practiced more as a communal tradition than as reflective engagement with the texts"* should not appear in the Results section, as it constitutes the author's interpretation. It should instead be revised into a data-based description, such as: *"Interview data indicate variation in participants' understanding of dhikr recitations, where several participants stated that they followed the dhikr collectively without initially knowing the meanings of the texts, while others reported gradually developing understanding through repeated participation."* With this approach, the Results section can present data variation and richness clearly, while interpretation, evaluation, and conceptual argumentation can be developed more freely and rigorously in the Discussion.

Fourth, the Discussion. The Discussion demonstrates a breadth of reading and a serious effort to relate findings to various theoretical perspectives—sociological, psychological, economic, and anthropological—as well as cross-national comparisons. However, the Discussion still suffers from a lack of argumentative focus and a clearly articulated intellectual position. The main frameworks promised since the Introduction (Qur'anic reception and social capital) have not yet fully functioned as the backbone of the Discussion; instead, too many additional theories are introduced, causing the Discussion to appear diffuse and descriptive. The author needs to sharpen the Discussion by selecting a few key findings and interpreting them deeply through the primary theoretical frameworks, while affirming novelty as an **analytical argument**, not merely as a claim. Global relevance should also be constructed more conceptually, not only through contextual comparison, by demonstrating the contribution of the Indonesian/Palu case to global discourse on *living Sufism* and faith-based social capital. Research limitations should be placed as a reflective paragraph at the end of the Discussion and used as the logical basis for implications and directions for future research in the Conclusion.

Fifth, the Conclusion. The Conclusion already follows the pattern of *Synthesis of Findings* → *Contributions* → *Future Research* → *Take-home Message*, which is a positive development. However, it still tends to function as an expansive summary rather than a sharp analytical synthesis that highlights the most determinant findings. Academic

contributions are still presented in general terms and should be articulated more specifically, for example by explicitly distinguishing theoretical, empirical, and methodological contributions. Directions for future research are relevant, but should be directly linked to the methodological and analytical limitations acknowledged earlier. The take-home message should also be condensed into a single, strong, and focused scholarly statement so that the Conclusion leaves a clear and academically substantial impression of contribution.

Overall, this manuscript demonstrates strong academic potential and significant progress compared to earlier versions. Nevertheless, to maintain the scholarly standards and reputation of *Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun*, the manuscript still requires further revision, particularly in purifying the Results section, sharpening the Discussion, and formulating novelty and contributions more explicitly. The required revisions are **conceptual and structural**, not a matter of adding more data. Once these improvements are implemented in a disciplined manner, the manuscript has strong potential to be reconsidered for the next stage.

As an additional note to remember:

1. Make sure you revise the **final version** of the manuscript you sent to us;
2. You need to improve the structure and grammar. Extensive editing should be undertaken since there are some errors in some parts of sentences in order to make sure there are zero errors.
3. The manuscript must be written in American English (English US), between 4,000 and 7,000 words, including text, notes, all tables, and figures, but not include references and appendices intended for publication;
4. The body of the manuscript follows IMRAD guidelines (Introduction, Method, Result, Discussion, Conclusion, and Bibliography). All citations and references must use the Mendeley app (**Follow this journal template**);
5. Customize overall reference writing with APA Style 7th Edition. If you use APA style, in-text citations must be in APA style. **For citations and references, it is mandatory to Use the Mendeley App;**
6. For details, visit: <http://journal.scad-independent.org/index.php/jipeuradeun/authorguidelines>;
7. As a side note, **you must also complete all author names, affiliations, and emails in your revised manuscript. Remember:** the JIP limits the number of authors to a maximum of seven in one manuscript, with different affiliations for each author (a minimum of two affiliations in one manuscript). Make sure that all authors do not have any articles published in JIP in the last 1 year;
8. **The revised manuscript you submit must be final, and there are no more reviewer notes in the manuscript;**
9. After you have revised it, please **UPLOAD** your final revised manuscript in the **Revisions** section of your account.



Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

Editor Decision (Article Accepted to Publish)

1 pesan

Tabrani ZA <tabraniza@scadindependent.org>
Kepada: Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

19 Januari 2026 pukul 02.28

Article ID: 2425

Dear Saude Saude, Kamridah Kamridah, Saad Boulahnane,

Congratulations! We are pleased to inform you that we have reached a decision regarding your manuscript submission to **Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun**, entitled "**Living Sufism: The Transformation of Dhikr Practices in Indonesian Majelis Ta'lim**".

Our decision is to: **Your Submission is Accepted.**

After a rigorous review process and careful consideration of the reviewers' valuable feedback, our editorial board is pleased to inform you that your manuscript has met publication standards for **Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun**. We believe that your contribution will provide meaningful insights and make a significant impact in the field you are studying. Your manuscript demonstrates high research quality, clear academic contribution, and aligns well with the focus and scope of the **Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun**. It is therefore with great pleasure that we inform you that your submission has been accepted for publication in the **Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun**.

We sincerely appreciate your dedication and effort in preparing this valuable research. Our team will now proceed with the next steps to ensure that your paper is published promptly and reaches a wide scholarly audience.

Furthermore, we kindly ask you to settle the Article Publishing Charges (APCs) in accordance with the policies applicable to this journal. The waiver policy or reduced publication charge does not apply under any circumstances. The Letter of Article Acceptance (LAA) will be issued once we receive confirmation of the participation charge. Regarding the technical process, please wait for further information, which will be sent shortly by our finance team through your account and registered email. To assist our finance team in contacting you efficiently, please ensure that the principal contact details for the authors are complete by filling out the form below. Please click: [Author's Primary Contact Data](#).

You can track your paper's progress in your account, and you'll be notified of each stage. We hope you can be patient for a predetermined amount of time during the process. We appreciate your patience in this regard. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us from your [submission dashboard](#).

Thank you for choosing **Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun** as the venue to share your work. We look forward to your continued collaboration with our journal in the future.

Best Regards,

Editorial JIP

Official E-mail: info.jip@scadindependent.org
Website: www.journal.scadindependent.org

"Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun, Your Intellectual Nutrition"



Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

Your Manuscript Submitted to Copyediting Process

1 pesan

Tabrani ZA <tabraniza@scad-independent.org>
Kepada: Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

19 Januari 2026 pukul 02.29

Article ID: 2425

Dear Saude Saude,

Your submission, "Living Sufism: The Transformation of Dhikr Practices in Indonesian Majelis Ta'lîm," to **Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun** has now been submitted to the copyediting process, and a copyeditor has been assigned to your manuscript. Your submission will now undergo copy editing and formatting to prepare it for publication.

You can track your paper's progress in your account, and you'll be notified of each stage. We hope you can be patient for a predetermined amount of time during the process. We appreciate your patience in this regard. If you have any questions, please contact me from your [submission dashboard](#).

Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Best Regards,

Dr. Tabrani. ZA, S.Pd.I., M.S.I., MA.
SCAD Independent Research Institute
Editor – Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun

—
Reply to this comment at [#2425 Saude et al.](#) or [unsubscribe](#) from emails sent by **Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun**.



Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

Participation Charge Notice

Siti Rahmi <finance.jip@scadindependent.org>
Kepada: Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

19 Januari 2026 pukul 11.01

Article ID: 2425

Dear Saude Saude, Kamridah Kamridah, Saad Boulahnane,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to **Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun** about "Living Sufism: The Transformation of Dhikr Practices in Indonesian Majelis Ta'lim". Based on the editorial decision, your article is **Accepted for Publication**.

Furthermore, we kindly request that you release the article processing charge (APC). **Your invoice: 2425.**

The author or corresponding author must release the article processing charge according to the method determined by the executive editor. The waiver policy is not applicable. We regret that we cannot waive or reduce the publication charge in any case. We won't proceed with the editing of the accepted manuscript until we receive your notification about the participation charge. We will send your Letter of Article Acceptance (LAA) once we receive confirmation of your participation charge.

Please confirm your payment via the following link: [PAYMENT CONFIRMATION](#)

Due to the long queues and several waiting periods involved before the article is finally published, your patience is greatly appreciated for a predetermined time of the process. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Thank you for your contribution and cooperation.

Best Regards,

Finance Team

Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun

—
Reply to this comment at [#2425 Saude et al.](#) or [unsubscribe](#) from emails sent by [Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun](#).



Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

APCs Received

1 pesan

Siti Rahmi <finance.jip@scadindependent.org>
Kepada: Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

20 Januari 2026 pukul 12.33

Article ID: 2425

Dear Saude Saude,

Thank you for the participation charge that you transferred to **Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun** for the title "**Living Sufism: The Transformation of Dhikr Practices in Indonesian Majelis Ta'lim**". We have received your Article Publishing Charges (APCs).

We will immediately send your paper to copyediting, along with the Letter of Article Acceptance (LAA). As a result of long lines and repeated wait times before manuscripts are sent for publication editing, we really appreciate your patience during the predetermined time of the process. You can track your manuscript's progress in your account, and we'll keep you updated. We hope you will be patient with us throughout the process. We appreciate your patience in this regard. If you have any questions, please contact us from your [submission dashboard](#).

Thank you for your contribution and cooperation.

Best Regards,

Finance Team

Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun

—
Reply to this comment at [#2425 Saude et al.](#) or [unsubscribe](#) from emails sent by *Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun*.



Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

Letter of Article Acceptance (LAA) ID 2425

1 pesan

Ramzi Murziqin <ramzimurziqin@scadindependent.org>

Kepada: Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

20 Januari 2026 pukul 12.38

Article ID: 2425

Dear Saude Saude, Kamridah Kamridah, Saad Boulahnane,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to **Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun**.

Referring to the notification that has been sent to you previously that your manuscript titled about "**Living Sufism: The Transformation of Dhikr Practices in Indonesian Majelis Ta'lim**", has been **Accepted for Publication**.

Please [Download Your LAA](#).

Your manuscript will now undergo copyediting and formatting to prepare it for publication to our copyediting team. You can track your paper's progress in your account, and you'll be notified of each stage. We hope you can be patient for a predetermined amount of time during the process. We appreciate your patience in this regard. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us from your [submission dashboard](#).

Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Best Regards,

Editorial JIPOfficial E-mail: info.jip@scadindependent.orgWebsite: www.journal.scadindependent.org

"Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun, Your Intellectual Nutrition"

—
Reply to this comment at [#2425 Saude et al.](#) or [unsubscribe](#) from emails sent by **Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun**.



Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

Your Manuscript Sent to Production

1 pesan

Tabrani ZA <tabraniza@scad-independent.org>
Kepada: Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

21 Januari 2026 pukul 01.06

Article ID: 2425

Dear Saude Saude, Kamridah Kamridah, Saad Boulahnane,

The editing of your submission, "**Living Sufism: The Transformation of Dhikr Practices in Indonesian Majelis Ta'lim**," is complete. We are now sending it to production.

You can track your paper's progress in your account, and you'll be notified of each stage. We hope you can be patient for a predetermined amount of time during the process. If you have any questions, please contact me from your [submission dashboard](#).

Submission URL: <https://journal.scad-independent.org/index.php/jipeuradeun/authorDashboard/submit/2425>

Thank you for considering this journal as a venue for your work.

Best Regards,

Dr. Tabrani. ZA, S.Pd.I., M.S.I., MA.
SCAD Independent Research Institute
Editor – Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun



Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

Proofreader Request

1 pesan

Ramzi Murziqin <ramzimurziqin@scadindependent.org>

Kepada: Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

25 Januari 2026 pukul 02.06

Article ID: 2425*Dear Saude Saude, Kamridah Kamridah, Saad Boulahnane,*

The publication of your article in Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun, titled "**Living Sufism: The Transformation of Dhikr Practices in Indonesian Majelis Ta'lim**," is very close. So we're entering the proofreading phase. We would ask that you undertake the proofreading by following these steps.

1. Open any files available under Draft Files and do your proofreading while adding any proofreading Discussions as needed;
2. Read the entire article carefully to correct possible typos in all sections, including suitability of the author's name and affiliation;
3. **JIP limits the number of authors to a maximum of seven in one manuscript, with different affiliations for each author (a minimum of two affiliations in one manuscript);**
4. Save the proofreader file(s) and upload it to the proofreader discussion panel;
5. Notify the editor that all files have been prepared and that the production process may begin;
6. If you have any corrections, please make them using the form provided ([Download Proofreader Form](#)).

[DOWNLOAD DRAFT FILE](#)

The editor will not respond to author objections or metadata corrections after the article is published. Articles that have been published are entirely the responsibility of the author. **The deadline for submitting corrections is three days from the date of notification.** Then, the draft file cannot be accessed after three days.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Best Regards,

Ramzi Murziqin

Editor - Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun

Reply to this comment at [#2425 Saude et al.](#) or [unsubscribe](#) from emails sent by [Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun](#).



Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

Proofreader Process Completed

1 pesan

Ramzi Murziqin <ramzimurziqin@scad-independent.org>

Kepada: Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

29 Januari 2026 pukul 00.01

Article ID: 2425*Dear Saude Saude, Kamridah Kamridah, Saad Boulahnane,*

The proofreader process is complete for the manuscript, "**Living Sufism: The Transformation of Dhikr Practices in Indonesian Majelis Ta'lim**", and publication galley for this manuscript can already be prepared for future issues.

This message provides an update on the progress of your paper. You can track your paper's progress in your account, and you'll be notified of each stage. We hope you can be patient for a predetermined amount of time during the process. We appreciate your patience in this regard. If you have any questions, please contact me from your [submission dashboard](#).

Thank you very much for your cooperation.

Best Regards,

Ramzi Murziqin

Editor - Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun

Reply to this comment at [#2425 Saude et al.](#) or [unsubscribe](#) from emails sent by [Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun](#).



Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

New Issue Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun Published

1 pesan

Tabrani ZA <tabraniza@scadindependent.org>
Kepada: Saude Saude <saude@uindatokarama.ac.id>

8 Februari 2026 pukul 01.27

Dear Readers,

We are delighted to announce that **Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun** has just released its latest issue, now available online at <https://journal.scadindependent.org/index.php/jipeuradeun>. This new edition brings together a diverse collection of high-quality scholarly works that we believe will contribute meaningfully to ongoing academic discourse in our field.

We warmly invite you to explore the Table of Contents to discover a range of articles, research notes, and insightful perspectives from scholars around the world. We encourage you to visit our website, read the full articles, and engage with ideas that challenge, inform, and inspire.

We hope that this issue will spark new conversations, enrich your research, and provide valuable references for your teaching and scholarly activities. Your readership, citations, and feedback are vital to the continued growth and impact of our journal.

Please feel free to share this issue with your colleagues, students, and networks who may find it relevant and thought-provoking. We also welcome your future contributions and invite you to consider submitting your next manuscript to **Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun**.

Thank you for your continued support and for being part of our vibrant academic community.

Warm regards,

Editorial Team

"Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun, Your Intellectual Nutrition"

—
[Unsubscribe](#) from emails sent by [Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun](#).