

PAPER NAME AUTHOR

C1206041218.pdf ka asma

WORD COUNT CHARACTER COUNT

5746 Words 32930 Characters

PAGE COUNT FILE SIZE

7 Pages 335.1KB

SUBMISSION DATE REPORT DATE

May 22, 2023 10:28 AM GMT+7 May 22, 2023 10:29 AM GMT+7

25% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

- 24% Internet database
- Crossref database
- 8% Submitted Works database

- 2% Publications database
- Crossref Posted Content database

Excluded from Similarity Report

- Bibliographic material
- · Cited material

- Quoted material
- Small Matches (Less then 8 words)

OSR Journal of Research & Method in Education (IOSR-JRME) e-ISSN: 2320–7388, p- ISSN: 2320-737x Volume 12, Issue 6 Ser. IV (Nov. – Dec. 2022), 12-18 www.iosrjournals.org

Smartphone Culture: Shifting Ways of Students in Learning English at an EFL Classroom

Ruslin¹

State Islamic University DatokaramaPalu

Nur Asmawati² State Islamic University DatokaramaPalu

bstract

This article is aimed to investigate the shifting ways of students in learning English at the English Department (ED) of State Islamic University (UIN) DatokaramaPalu, Indonesia. It is aimed to explain how smartphones have remarkably changed the ways, experiences, and attitudes of students in learning English. To gain in-depth analysis related to the issues being investigated, this research employs a case study design while considering the views of constructivism. Data collection is based on the Google form-based semi-structured interviews along with observations in the classroom. The analysis coveredthree main issues: factors affecting the need for students to use smartphonesfor learning; attitudes of students towards learning, and social impacts due to the use of smartphones for learning English. The research revealed that there were a number of ways where students usedsmartphones for learning English. It also revealed that few factors triggered the use of smartphones for learning English which slowly changed their attitudes and brought a number of social impacts amongst the students. The implication of the research is to inform teachers, lecturers and educational stakeholders to include new technologies in EFL curriculum and create learning atmospheres and in which studentscould use their smartphones for effective English learning.

Key words: Learning culture, New ways of learning English, Smartphone culture,

13 ate of Submission: 05-12-2022 Date of Acceptance: 17-12-2022

I. Introduction

For the last twenty years, the use of technology or so called digital devices has remarkably transformed the ways of people learn English and other languages (Amiri and Branch, 2012; Mehran et al, 2017). This transformation of learning has brought a great deal of ways, modes, experiences, attitudes, and probably social impacts amongst language learners. Moreover, new terminologies appear alongside the use of digital devices such as smartphones in language learning (AlTameemy, 2017; Lee, 2018, 2020; Sad et al, 2020 and Soyoof et al, 2021). Today, teachers and students are becoming used to with terminologies like e-learning, online learning, blended learning, hybrid learning, Google Classroom, Google meet, zoom, Learning Management System (LMS), Learning Record Store (LRS), learning data, Learning Tools Interoperability (LTI), synchronous and asynchronous learning and many more (Learningpool, 2022). At the same time, more and more online language learning platforms have introduced different ways of learning and these are all available online both for free and paid access.

It is important to note that the Covid-19 pandemichas remarkably triggered the upsurges of the onlearning modeswith diverse platforms (Mehran et al, 2017; Putri et al, 2020; Al Kumaim et al, 2021). Indonesia, for example, one of the most well-known online learning platforms is *Ruang Guru*. For many students and parents alike, this learning provider has become the first alternative of students apart from the traditional wayof learning English(Nurchaerani&Nursyamsi, 2021). It hasremarkably changed the views of students about the importance of smartphoneswhich are connected to online platforms in any learning activities (Mindog, 2016; AlTameemy, 2017; Shoumi, 2019; Ruslin et al, 2022). Shoumi (2019) particularly expounded that the use of multimedia (on or offline) in teaching would enhance teachers' techniques and strategies in teaching. Shealso explained that the use of multimedia like *Ruang Guru* would help students learn things effectively. Likewise, the development of 'thumb' technology (Warnick, 2001; Twiss, 2009; Al Shehri, 2011; Pilar et al, 2013 and Ilic, 2014) such as smartphones (Mindog, 2016; Klimova, 2018; Kirovska-Simjanoska, 2018; Rao, 2018, 2019; Kumar, 2019; Sad et al, 2020; and Ta'amneh, 2021) has shifted human interest in traditional ways of learning such as reading books in the library and making some notes as we had in the

past. Witanto (2018) in particular explained that the use of this *thumb* technology has diminished the role of the printed texts available in schools today (Ruslin, 2022: 738).

Despite the existing evidences, little is known about how smartphones, in which time, plat applications, and how helpfulare exactly used by students to learn English. This is in particular at the case of the English Department (ED) students of the State Islamic University DatokaramaPalu, UIN DatokaramaPalu). Moreover, it is little known about how students transform their ways, experiences, and attitudes inlearning English as a Foreign Language (EFL) from the traditional mode tothe most advanced and sophisticated ones. In addition, social impacts due to the use of smartphones in EFL classroom are scarcely identified.

Based on the evidences, his article is aimed to investigate the shifting ways of the English Department (ED) students in learning English. In particular, he is aimed to explain how the use of smartphones has remarkably changed the ways, experiences, and attitudes of students in learning English as their major. To gain an elepth inquiry of the issues being investigated, this research used a case study design while implementing google form-based semi-structured interviews along with observations in the classroom. The analysis covered three main issues: the factors affecting the need for students to use smartphones for learning; the attitudes of students towards learning, and the social impacts due to the use of smartphones for learning English.

II. Literature Review

The views of constructivists on learning

The views of constructivists on learning have been strongly influenced by the work of Jean Piaget and Vygotsky (Ruslin, 2017: 26). The work of Piaget brought forward two major principles that were claimed to have guided intellectual growth and biological development of children: adaptation and organisation (Bhattacharya and Han, 2001: 5-6). Taget describes that learning is a process of adaptation and organisation. He theorised that cognitive stage of a child (more or less of adult in general) develops through four factors: biological maturation, experience with physical environment; experience with social environment; and equilibirium between individual cognitive structure and his or her environment (Bhattacharya and Han, 2001cited in Ruslin, 2017: 27). The central principle of Piaget's learning theory is the role of pre-existing knowledge of the individual which he termed 'schemata' (Russ-Eft, 2014).

knowledge of the integrated which he termed 'schemata' (Russ-Eft, 2014).

Meanwhile, ygotsky, a Russian philosopher (1979) was concerned with social development ofmind, while adopting a more practical or materialistic approach inspired by Marxism. Vygotsky explained that for someone to learn effectively, wo fundamental ways of developing higher mental functions: engagement in social speech and the use of signs in a talk are needed. However, Vygotskyreminds us that thesocial context of learning is very important for an effective learning to happen (Bredo,1997 and Russ-Eft, 2013: 123). The engagement in social speech in the time of Vygotsky is very much similar to the students' frequent engagements in the online applications available in their smartphones. Such engagements could also be observed in students' social network services (SNS) available in their connected gadgets.

Although Piaget and Vygotsky differed in terms of characterizing triggering points of learning, they are both ritical to the role of education (in particular formal education) in the learning development of individuals. Piaget in particular criticized a common practice of teacher-led instruction in schools and emphasized the importance of independent efforts discovery) of students in their learning (Crain, 2010; 2015). Meanwhile, Vygotskyrecognizedthe importance formal education in individuals' learning development. Yet, Vygotsky was critical that education has to provide learning experience to students that are close to their zone of proximal development (ZPD) or zone where his or her knowledge level is closer materials being tageth by lecturers.

In many cases, teachers, instructors and probably lecturers in higher education anink that students are kinds of empty buckets that should be filled with knowledge and skills. Constructivists, however, view that learning occurs through fitting new understanding and knowledge into and with, extending or supplanting, old understanding and knowledge (Fry et al, 2008: 10). In constructivist's perspective, as Savery and Duffy (1995) observed, understanding is a function of four important aspects of learning: the content, the context, and the activity of the learner, and the goal of the learner (p. 32). This means that one cannot talk about what he/she learns without knowing how he/she learns and therefore students' understanding is a key to their learning (Ruslin, 2017).

(Ruslin, 2017).

As a discrete research aims to investigate how the students of ED at the UIN DatokaramaPalu experienced learning English via smartphoneswhile considering social contexts of students' learning (for example, help and support of lecturers), the constructivists' view provides two important aspects of learning which the research mainly concerns. First, students are not considered as empty buckets that are just to be filled with knowledge and skills. Rather, students who attend university and learn in campus come with their prior knowledge (Piaget and Cook, 1952) and understanding of things related to their field (Vygotsky, 1979), no matter rudimentary or wrong (Fry et al, 2008). This view suggests that students are basically ready to contribute to their learning activities. Moreover, constructivists view that the role of lecturers is important but is limited to manage and facilitate the learning environment and resources to the best advantage of students/learners and to ensure that

students are in control of their learning (Hartl, 2009). The context of the current research, the role of schemata theorized by Piaget has a close relationship with how students engage in different applications available for learning English in their smartphases. It is the experience of students in engaging in different learning providers via smartphones that determines are effectiveness of these digital devices for their EFL learning. Similarly, the ZPD of Vygotsky in which social contexts of learning are made important implies the urgent needs of others (lecturers/teachers/instructors) apart from smartphones alone to support students' EFL learning. The existence of fellow students/peer tutors and helpful lecturers/teachers who clearly identify the level of their students would enable students learn faster.

The use of smarphones in learning EFL

Today, he use of smartphones in learning English as a Foreign Language (EFL) has been prevalent across the globe. Students of EFL are no longer solely dependent on teachers or lecturers. This circumstance has become more obvious due to the strike of pandemic Covid-19 at the end of 2019 which trigger the needs for online and hybrid learning modes. Consequently, there is only a little choice left for teachers or lecturers to conduct their learning and teaching process. In one hand, teachers and lecturers alike find it difficult to adapt themselves with this situation. On the other hand, this uncertain situation becomes a blessing in disguise for both students and teachers/lecturers. This unprecedented circumstance forces students and teacher/lecturers to quickly adapt with the demands of the current learning and teaching modes. The other blessings of the situation are the emergent of a great deal of language learning applications from which students could learn EFL faster than before.

Currently, various researches in the field of English as a Foreign Language (EFL) in relation to the use of smartphones for learning have come to an existence. These researches cover various perspectives about now smartphones have transformed the ways and approaches of students in learning EFL. Mindog (2016), for example, discussed the use of smartphones apps by four Japanese university students for learning EFL. She made mention that the smartphones applications have enabled the students to learn faster as they learn integrated English skills rather than discrete ones. Moreover, the study of Mindog (2016) revealed that students preferred to get connected with their fellow students through Social Network Services (SNS) for learning English.

In the case of Turkey, fresh graduate students attending the English preparation program were identified to have used their smartphones frequently (Sad et al, 2020). Sad et al (2020) investigated Turkish freshmen students entering university from which they identified the advantages of the use of smarthones in an EFL classroom. The study also revealed that students more frequently used their smartphones in engage in listening and speaking than reading and writing activities. Moreover, the study further showed that female students more frequently used their smartphones in comparison to male students (Sad et al, 2020). Apart from the advantages of smartphones for learning English, Sad et al (2020) expounded those students who frequently engaged in the online activities were prone to adversative in comparison to those who were not. In contrast, the study of Ta'amneh (2021) in the case of first semester students at a Saudi Arabian university showed that the students found no problems regarding the use of smartphones in learning English skills. Rather, this study revealed that students were very much helped by their smartphones as they would easily find solutions to their learning problems during the class session. In line with Ta' amneh (2021), Klimova (2018) found out that the use of smartphones brought positive effect on students' EFL learning. In particular, the study of Klimova (2018) revealed that students' vocabulary proficiency improved along with their motivation to learn English as a foreign language. Klimova (2018) in regards to the use of smartphones and its connected application for an EFL learning; Rao (2018; 2019) concerning the use of mobile phones in EFL classroom; Chakir (2018) about the integration of mobile phones in EFL contexts; and many more are just some examples of how students today are very mobile and being attached so much to their smartphones.

III. Research Method

The use of the qualitative approach is based on the premise that this study was focused on a phenomenon (the experience of English Study Program students using smartphonesfor learning English) where the researchers have little control over the events (Yin, 1994 cited in Ruslin, 2017: 113). Moreover, qualitative approach as noted by Creswell (2005) and Creswell et al (2007), is used when the research is aimed to investigate not only individual experiences but also their social behaviors regarding the use of smartphonesfor learning English. In addition, since the experiences of students can only be obtained through a natural setting(school or classroom), the use of qualitative approach becomes paramount (Ruslin, 2019).

The research used two different types of data collection techniques: observations and interviews. The use of these techniques was based on the premise that it would contribute to the rigorousness of the research findings (Creswell, 2005; 2007). Observation would confirm or disconfirm the results of the interviews. Meanwhile, the results of the interviews would provide in-depth information in regards to subject matters being

investigated. The voices of the lecturers would reinforce the findings of the research especially in relation to how the ED students made use their smartphonesfor learning English.

The subjects of the research were ten selected students of ED at the Faculty of Tarbiyah and Teacher Training at UIN DatokaramaPalu. These students were purposefully selected for the online interviewsimplementing *Google forms* because they were categorized as active English learners. However, only four students returned the *Google forms* answered. To reinforce the data derived from the ED students, the voices of few English lecturers were strongly considered. Meanwhile, and data analysis was developed on the basis of the data resources: observations and interviews. The process of the data analysis involved systematic searching and compiling data obtained from the resources mentioned. In this stage, organizing data into categories, describing into units, conducting sites, complying in, and sorting them out are very important (Creswell, 2005 and Miles, Huberman and Saldana, 2018). These processes were carefully taken into account in order for the researchers to better recognize data and information needed to answer the research questions. Hence, the conclusions could be better made as the data were easily identified. Miles and Huberman (1994) explained that the activities in qualitative data analysis were carried out interactively and continuously until they were finished and saturated. In addition, triangulation is needed to ensure that the uses multiple methods in a research could contribute to the robustness of the findings. In other words, triangulation can be interpreted as a part data analysis that combines several data collection techniques and existing data sources in qualitative research (Denzinand Lincoln, 1995).

IV. Findings And Discussion

This article is aimed to investigate the shifting ways of students in learning English at the English Department (ED) of State Islamic University (UIN) DatokaramaPalu, Indonesia. It is aimed to explain how smartphones have remarkably changed the ways, experiences, and attitudes of students in learning English. To ain in-depth inquiry about the issues being investigated, this research used a case study design while applying coogle form-based semi-structured interviews along with observations of the researchers in the classroom. The analysis covered three main issues: the factors affecting the need for students to use smartphones for their EFL learning; their attitudes towards EFL learning, and the social impacts due to the frequent use of smartphones for EFL learning.

Prior to the beginning of this unfunded case research, the ongoing observations of both researchers were conducted throughout their engagements with the ED students. Both researchers have had the experiences of chairing the English Department in the Faculty of Education and Teacher Training, UIN DatokaramaPalu. These experiences had brought significant contributions to the closest engagements with the ED students of all batches which in turn helped the researchers observe their EFL learning activities both in and out the classrooms. The observations of the researchers revealed that the majority of the ED students especially those selected for the research were actively engaged in learning with their smartphones. It is a fact that the students were so much dependent on their smartphones to the point that they were no longer interested in coming to the library for reading. Even if they come to the library, they would still use their smartphones searching the apps that they might need for their learning activities.

The interviews used *Google forms* and they were distributed via *Whatsapp* group. Prior to their participations, the students were requested to send their consents to participate in the interviews and they were free to decline their participations even after they have submitted their answers via online. Besides, the participants were required to confirm whether their participations in this research were truly based on their free wills. Therefore, only four out of ten students filled in the forms and sent them back to the researchers. The *Google forms* were designed to cover the issues being investigated. These forms contain ten questions related to the factorsaffecting the needs for using smartphones in learning English, their attitudes; and the social impacts emerged during their engagements with their smartphones. The questions provided were developed into English to better portray how the ED students responded the questions. This was also aimed to examine whether the meaning of the questions was effectively understood by the statement of the other way round. Hence, these types of questions would be well-prepared for the future researches in the field of English Language Teaching (ELT). Despite the questions were deemed to have represented the issues being investigated, there were many receded probing questions to clarify, confirm, or disconfirm the opinions and the arguments of the students the interviews were conducted in a face-to-face mode. In addition, these questions had not yet covered which peplications, what topics, which language skills, which language components, for further responses needed. The results of the *Google form* interviews were presented in the following ways:

The Results of Google Form Interviews

Questions	G1 (MG)	Intervie		CA (A APPR)
1. What does it mean learning English to you?	S1 (NS) Learning English declares what people do when they want to use the English language.	S2 IT Learning English is as an international language and using it to communicate.	S3 (AR) Learning English is fun and meaningful because by learning English I can easily communicate with someone who is in a different country.	S4 (MTR) Learning English is one of the languages used in almost all countries. English is used for interaction in daily activities and other things.
2. In your opinion, is it easy to learn English it difficult?	Learning English is easy as long we can enjoy learning it.	Learning English is easy or not depend on what students learn.	Learning English is difficult.	Learning English is easy.
ti difficult? hat is the best way for you to learn English?	Study is hort regular periods, and in place where you for happy and comfortable, and in pairs or groups may an English only rule, and go online and find the lyrics to your favorite English songs and sing along them (use a YouTube) or use learning English applications like Learning English and Duolingo.	Repeat vocabulary bank and also practice intensively with my fellow students.	Through habitation in daily activities.	Having talked with strangers using English conversation.
o you often use your smartphone for learning English?	Not really. 9 ause sometimes udy in pairs or in groups, make English only rules.	I often use smartphone in learning English.	I often use smartphone in learning English.	I often use smartphone in learning English.
5. How often you use your smartphone for learning English?	In short and regular periods.	Almost every day.	It just search difficult English structure/grammar, and practice with my fellow students.	Always using smartphone in learning.
6. What things do you usually use your smartphone for learning English?	o online and find the lyrics to my favorite English songs and sing along them use a YouTube or use learning English applications like Learning English and Duolingo.	Searching difficult English structure/grammar.	Listening to music and watching English videos.	Sometimes using chatting applications. Beside learn can meet also a new friend.
7. How does your smartphone change your ways of learning English?	I am obsessed with doing everything quickly, learning English included. From my experience as little as one hour a day of self-study can boost my progress immensely	It is effective but should be practice with my fellow students or my teacher, tutor or lecture).	Getting access in supporting applications.	It can make things easier in learning English.
8. How does your smartphone change your attitude of learning English?	Having a smartphone 12 ingsimpairs and reduces tentions and subsequent memory during lectures. Notably being distracted by text messages further duces effective learning.	It is a big impact to change my attitude.	Learning through smartphone reduces the pressure in the learning process.	Learning through smartphone has good impact to change attitude.
9. What are the social impacts of the use of smartphone for learning English?	6 fists, It decreases the quality of what you talk about, because you talk about things where you would not mind being interrupted, which make sense. Secondly it decreases the empathic connection that people feel toward each other.	The impacts are lack of interaction between each other because learner focusing on social media or mobile phone.	It is very easy for us in getting learning materials.	It has good impacts in learning English.
10. How do you handle the social impacts for your better learning?	By reading to embrace learning not only in my impact assessment.	Reducing the use of smartphone in maintaining friendship with social.	Determining what applications or media need to learn.	Just enjoy it.

The first issue investigated was about the ways of the ED students engaged in learning English via meir smartphones. The results of the esearch showed that the majority of the ED students made use of their smartphones for learning English. The result of the research showed that the students were the frequent users of smartphones although there were cases where they did not really need to engage in learning via their smartphones. The situation was very much the same as in the case of four Japanese students described by Mindog (2016), Sad et al (2020) in the case of Turkish freshmen students in English language preparation entering the university, Ta'amneh (2021) in the case of freshmen in a Saudi university, or Klimova (2018) in the context of prevalent use of smartphones in EFL learning activities across the globe. The result suggests that the higher education stakeholders need to include new technologies in the English Language Teaching curriculum if the better EFL learning is aimed to achieve. The inclusion of new technologies in EFL learning design is a sign of a strong attachment to constructivism of Piaget and Vygotsky. This is true as these two prominent theorists glorified the environment (social)/situation that support students to learn effectively.

Another issue that was equally important examine in this research was the attitudes of the ED students towards learning English via smartphones. The result of the research showed that there was a case where the studentfound their smartphones distracted his/her learning instead of contributing to an effective and efficient learning. Here is the excerpt of the interview presented as follows:

"Having a smartphone brings impairs and reduces attentions and subsequent memory during lectures. Notably being distracted by text messages further reduces effective learning" (Interview: S1/NS, 22 July 2022)

The result of the interview suggests that there are times where students need to get disconnected with their smartphones. This situation confirmed the argument of Sad et al (2020) where they found out students who frequently gaged in the online activities were prone to adversative in comparison to those who were not. This means that he use of smartphones during the classroom session should be limited to the urgent needs for learning or it should be adjusted with the needs for the subject matter being discussed. Otherwise, the unnecessary use of smartphones during the English class session would become hostile to students as in the case of Turkish freshmen students. Despite the case, three out four students who claimed to have a positive impact of smartphones in learning English. In addition, the social impacts due to the frequent use of smartphones in learning English amongst the ED students were not fully revealed. This was due to the absence of probing questions for further clarifications in the online interviews. However, the responses provided in the above table showed that there were differences in the ways the ED students responded the questions. Here are the two excerpts of the interviews presented as follows:

"First, it decreases the quality of what you talk about, because you talk about things where you would not mind being interrupted, which make sense. Secondly it decreases the empathic connection that people feel toward each other". (Interview: S1/NS, 22 July 2022)

"It is very easy for us in getting learning materials" (Interview: S3/AR, 20 July 2022)

The interviews revealed that the social impacts the students experienced might be different. The ways they perceived their experiences using smartphones for learning English depended so much on how these digital devices had helped them learn English. However, this could also have a strong relationship with students' learning styles and personality which in this research was failed to explore due to the mode of interviews selected and time constraints.

V. Conclusion

The research revealed that there were a number of ways where students used smartphones for learning English. The ways the ED students perceived their learning needs, their learning styles, and personality become a few factors predominantly affecting their engagements in learning English via smartphones. This suggests that the more students understand and be aware of their English learning goals the more probably they use their smartphones for learning English effectively. It also revealed that the use of smartphones for learning English lowly changed their attitudes towards the use of the devices and its necessities for learning. This situation has brought a number of social impacts amongst the students which sometimes they were not aware of the implication of the research is to inform teachers, lecturers and educational stakeholders to include new technologies in EFL curriculum and create learning atmospheres in which students could use their smartphones for effective English learning.

References

[1]. Al-Kumaim, N. H., Alhazmi, A. K., Mohammed, F., Gazem, N. A., Shabbir, M. S., &Fazea, Y. (2021). Exploring the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on university students' learning life: An integrated conceptual motivational model for sustainable and healthy online learning. Sustainability, 13(5), 2546.

DOI: 10.9790/7388-1206041218 www.iosrjournals.org 17 | Page

- Al-Shehri, S. (2011). Context in our pockets: Mobile phonesand social networking as tools of contextualizing language learning. [2]. In Proceedings 10th World Conference on Mobile and Contextual Learning (mLearn) (pp. 278-286).
- [3]. AlTameemy, F. (2017). Mobile phones for teaching and learning: Implementation and students' and teachers' attitudes. Journal of Educational Technology Systems, 45(3), 436-451
- [4]. Amiri, E., & Branch, L. (2012). A study of the application of digital technologies in teaching and learning English language and literature. International journal of scientific & technology research, 1(5), 103-107.
- Chakir, M. (2018). The Integration of Mobile Phones in EFL Contexts: Using Messenger Applications to Learn English [5]. Vocabulary (Doctoral dissertation, Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville).
- [6]. Crain, F. S. (2010). The effect of leadership style on student achievement in Title I elementary schools.
- [7]. Crain, W. (2015). Theories of development: Concepts and applications. Routledge.
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2005). Mixed methods research: Developments, debates, and dilemmas. Research in [8]. organizations: Foundations and methods of inquiry, 2, 315-326.
- [9]. Creswell, J. W., Hanson, W. E., Clark Plano, V. L., & Morales, A. (2007). Qualitative research designs: Selection and implementation. The counseling psychologist, 35(2), 236-264.
- [10]. Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (1995). Transforming Qualitative Research Methods: Is it a Revolution?. Journal of Contemporary Ethnography, 24(3), 349-358.
- El Hariry, N. A. (2015). Smartphonesas useful language learning tools. European Scientific Journal, 11(16), 298-317.
- Fry, H., Ketteridge, S., & Marshall, S. (Eds.). (2008). A handbook for teaching and learning in higher education: Enhancing [12]. academic practice. Routledge.
- [13]. Han, S., & Bhattacharya, K. (2001). Constructionism, learning by design, and project based learning. Emerging perspectives on learning, teaching, and technology, 127-141. Accessed on 22 July 2022
- [14]. Hartl, M. (2009). Technical and vocational education and training (TVET) and skills development for poverty reduction-do rural women benefit. Retrieved October, 4, 2011.
- Hertlein, K. M., & Ancheta, K. (2014). Advantages and disadvantages of technology in relationships: Findings from an open-ended [15]. survey. The Qualitative Report, 19(11), 1.
- [16]. Ilic, P. (2014). The relationship between students, smartphonesand their homework. In International Conference on Mobile and Contextual Learning (pp. 146-155). Springer, Cham.
- [17]. Kirovska-Simjanoska, D. (2018). Smartphonesas learning and organizational tools in the ESP classroom. Positioning English for Specific Purposes in an English Language Teaching Context, 255.
- [18]. Klimova, B. (2018). Mobile phones and/or smartphones and their apps for teaching English as a foreign language. Education and Information Technologies, 23(3), 1091-1099.
- [19]. Kumar, Y. P. (2019). Use of Mobile Phones by Visually Impaired (Vi) Learners to Learn the English Language. Think India Journal, 22(4), 6292-6295.
- [20]. Learningpool (2022) https://learningpool.com/20-elearning-terms-everyone-should-know/ Accessed on July 9 2022
- Mehran, P., Alizadeh, M., Koguchi, I., & Takemura, H. (2017). Are Japanese digital natives ready for learning English online? A [21]. preliminary case study at Osaka University. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 14(1), 1-17.
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1994). Qualitative data analysis: An expanded sourcebook. sage. [22].
- [23]. Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., &Saldaña, J. (2018). Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook. Sage publications.
- [24]. Mindog, E. (2016). Apps and EFL: A case study on the use of smartphone apps to learn English by four Japanese university students. Jalt Call Journal, 12(1), 3-22.
- [25]. Piaget, J., & Cook, M. T. (1952). The Origins of Intelligence in Children.
- [26]. Putri, R. S., Purwanto, A., Pramono, R., Asbari, M., Wijayanti, L. M., & Hyun, C. C. (2020). Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on online home learning: An explorative study of primary schools in Indonesia. International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology, 29(5), 4809-4818.
- [27]. Rahimi, M., &Miri, S. S. (2014). The impact of mobile dictionary use on language learning. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences, 98, 1469-1474.
- Rahman, A., & Cotter, T. (2014). English language learning through mobile phones. In International perspectives on materials in [28]. ELT (pp. 159-177). Palgrave Macmillan, London.
- Rao, P. S. (2018). Using Mobile Phones in English Language Teaching and Learning Environment. Literary Endeavour, 9, 141-151.
- [30]. Rao, P. S. (2019). The use of mobile phones in the English classrooms. Academicia: An International Multidisciplinary Research Journal, 9(1), 6-17.
- [31]. Ruslin, Zulfikri, Alhabsyi, F. and Syam, H. (2022). Insights about Reading Competences of Students in Public Schools in Central Sulawesi, Indonesia" International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science (IJRISS) volume-6-issue-6, Pp.733-740 June 2022 URL: https://www.rsisinternational.org/journals/ijriss/Digital-Library/volume-6-issue-6/733-740.pdf
- [32]. Russ-Eft, D. F. (2014). Human resource development, evaluation, and sustainability: what are the relationships?. Human Resource Development International, 17(5), 545-559.
- Şad, S. N., Özer, N., Yakar, Ü., &Öztürk, F. (2020). Mobile or hostile? Using smartphones in learning English as a foreign [33]. language 1. Computer Assisted Language Learning, 1-27.
- [34]. Savery, J.R. and Duffy, T.M. (1995) Problem-based Learning: An Instructional Model and its Constructivist Framework. Educational Technology. Vol. 35: Pp. 31 - 38 Accessed on 21 July 2022
- [35]. Shoumi, A. Z. (2019, October). Peran multimedia dalampendidikanpadaaplikasiruang guru.In Prosiding seminar nasionalcendekiawan (pp. 2-23).
- Soyoof, A., Reynolds, B. L., Vazquez-Calvo, B., &McLay, K. (2021). Informal digital learning of English (IDLE): a scoping [36]. review of what has been done and a look towards what is to come. Computer Assisted Language Learning, 1-27.
- [37]. Ta'amneh, M. A. A. A. (2021). The Use of Smartphones in Learning English Language Skills: A Study of University Students' Perspectives. International Journal of Applied Linguistics and English Literature, 10(1), 1-8.
- Twiss, T. (2009). Mobile Phones in the English classroom. English in Aotearoa, (69), 70-74.
- Yin, R. K. (1994). Discovering the future of the case study. Method in evaluation research. Evaluation practice, 15(3), 283-290. [39].

Ruslin. "Smartphone Culture: Shifting Ways of Students in Learning English at an EFL Classroom." IOSR Journal of Research & Method in Education (IOSR-JRME), 12(06), (2022): pp. 12-18.



25% Overall Similarity

Top sources found in the following databases:

- 24% Internet database
- Crossref database
- 8% Submitted Works database

- 2% Publications database
- Crossref Posted Content database

TOP SOURCES

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

1	researchgate.net Internet	11%
2	sro.sussex.ac.uk Internet	3%
3	repository.uindatokarama.ac.id Internet	2%
4	jurnal.uindatokarama.ac.id Internet	1%
5	Hellenic Open University on 2019-01-15 Submitted works	<1%
6	Bethany High School on 2017-11-02 Submitted works	<1%
7	myenglishcorner.com Internet	<1%
8	Université Internationale de Rabat on 2022-06-07 Submitted works	<1%



graduate-school.r Internet	nksu.ac.ke
Eastern Mediterra Submitted works	nean University on 2016-02-02
Oakland Commun Submitted works	ity College on 2021-01-17
iosrjournals.org	
Applied Science U	Iniversity on 2015-05-09
tdx.cat Internet	
Manchester Metro	opolitan University on 2017-09-29
University of Leice	ester on 2023-01-26
jurnal.fkip.unism u Internet	ıh.ac.id
Ahmed, Mahgoub Crossref	Dafalla. "Can Smartphones Pave the Path towards E.



21	Internet	<1%
22	erepo.unud.ac.id Internet	<1%
23	files.journoportfolio.com Internet	<1%
24	grrjournal.com Internet	<1%
25	kardan.edu.af Internet	<1%
26	pure.manchester.ac.uk Internet	<1%
27	research-repository.griffith.edu.au Internet	<1%
28	scholarworks.uark.edu Internet	<1%
29	rsisinternational.org	<1%